

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,200	12/21/200	01	Michel Deeba	4007	4939
75	90 07	7/29/2003			
Engelhard Cor		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
101 Wood Avenue P.O. Box 770				TRAN, BINH Q	
Iselin, NJ 08830				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3748	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

33,000	_	111					
est t	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/032,200	DEEBA, MICHEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BINH Q. TRAN	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, presented in the series of	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.					
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		. (1)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(8	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	<del></del>						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed May 05, 2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hirota et al. (Hirota'927) (Patent Number 6,233,927 B1).

Regarding claims 1-2, Hirota'927 discloses a diesel engine exhaust system comprising: a soot filter (e.g. 7, 19); and low temperature NO2 trap (11) deposited on a carrier upstream and in train with the soot filter (e.g. See Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 23-67; col. 8, lines 1-15); wherein the exhaust system further comprises a diesel oxidation catalyst (18) upstream of the carrier with the deposited NO2 trap material (e.g. See Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 3748

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5, and 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota'927 in view of Hirota et al. (Hirota'246) (Patent Number 6,367,246 B1).

Regarding claims 3, 17-18, and 21, Hirota'927 discloses a diesel engine exhaust system comprising: a soot filter (e.g. 7, 19); and low temperature NO2 trap (11) deposited on a carrier upstream and in train with the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14). However Hirota'927 fails to disclose that the low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites.

Hirota'246 teaches that it is conventional in the art, to use a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites (e.g. See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14), which are carried on a carrier for absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is lean, and releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites of Hirota'927, as taught by Hirota'246 for the purpose of absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing

Art Unit: 3748

into the absorbent is lean, and releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich, so as to reduce the poisoned materials in the purifying catalyst and to reduce amount of nitrogen oxides in the exhaust gas of the lean-burn engine, and further improve the performance of the engine and the efficiency of the emission device.

Regarding claim 4, Hirota'246 further discloses that the zeolites are selected from the group consisting of ZSM-5, ETS-I0, y zeolite, Beta zeolite, ferrierite, mordenite, titanium silicates, and aluminum phosphates (See col. 11, lines 5-47).

Regarding claim 5, Hirota'246 further discloses that the base metals are selected from the group consisting of Mn, Cu, Fe, Co, W, Re, Sn, Ag, Zn, Mg, Li, Na, K, Cs, Nd, Pr and combinations thereof (See col. 11, lines 5-47).

Regarding claim 10, Hirota'927 further discloses that the a diesel oxidation catalyst (18) upstream of the soot filter (7) (See Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 11, Hirota'927 further discloses that the NO2 trap material (11) is deposited on a carrier that is interposed and in train with the diesel oxidation catalyst (18) and the soot filter (7) (See Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 12, Hirota'246 further discloses that the system comprising a canister, wherein the canister houses both the low temperature NO2 trap material and the soot filter (See Fig. 4; col. 6, lines 10-56).

Regarding claim 13, Hirota'246 further discloses that the soot filter comprises a ceramic monolithic structure having an upstream axial end and a downstream axial end, the structure having parallel flow channels with macroporous walls, wherein the channels having an opening at the upstream axial end are closed at the downstream axial end, and the channels having an

Art Unit: 3748

opening at the downstream axial end are closed at the upstream axial end, thereby defining upstream and downstream sides of the channel walls (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 14, Hirota'246 further discloses a catalyst composition is deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 15, Hirota'246 further discloses that the catalyst composition, deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter, comprises a lean NOx catalyst composition (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 16, Hirota'246 further discloses that the catalyst composition, deposited on the downstream side of the channel walls of the soot filter, comprises a catalyst composition effective for the combustion of unburned hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 19, Hirota'246 further discloses that the low temperature NO2 trap material comprises zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base-metal exchanged zeolites (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 20, Hirota'246 further discloses that the exhaust system further comprises a lean NOx catalyst deposited on the soot filter (See col. 3, lines 32-67; col. 4, lines 1-14).

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246 as applied to claims 3, 17-18, and 21 above, and further in view of Deeba et al. (Deeba) (Patent Number 6,093,378).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 6, Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246 discloses all the claimed limitation as discussed above except that the zeolites comprise a trivalent metal which in combination with Si forms an oxidic skeleton

Deeba discloses a diesel engine exhaust system comprising: a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites, and wherein the low temperature NO2 trap material is deposited on a carrier, wherein the zeolites comprise a trivalent metal which in combination with Si forms an oxidic skeleton (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use a low temperature NO2 trap material comprising zeolites selected from the group consisting of acidic zeolites and base metal-exchanged zeolites of Hirota'927 in view of Hirota'246, as taught by Deeba for the purpose of absorbing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is lean, and releasing the NOx when the air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas flowing into the absorbent is rich, so as to reduce the poisoned materials in the purifying catalyst and to reduce amount of nitrogen oxides in the exhaust gas of the lean-burn engine, and further improve the performance of the engine and the efficiency of the emission device.

Regarding claim 7, Deeba further discloses that the trivalent metal comprises at least one metal selected from the group consisting of Al, B, Ga, In, Fe, Cr, V, As and Sb (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

Regarding claim 8, Deeba further discloses that the zeolites comprise three-dimensional alumina-silicate zeolites characterized by pore openings whose smallest cross-section dimensions

Application/Control Number: 10/032,200 Page 7

Art Unit: 3748

are at least 5 Angstroms and having a silicon to alumina ratio of at least 5 (See col. 10, lines 5-67; col. 11, lines 1-45).

Regarding claim 9, Deeba further discloses that the zeolites comprise titanium silicates (See col. 12, lines 10-67; col. 13, lines 1-32).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 05, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive. *Claims 1-21 are pending*.

Applicant's cooperation in correcting the informalities in the specification is appreciated.

Applicant's cooperation in explaining the claims subject matter more specific to overcome the claim objections relating to indefinite claim language is also appreciated.

Applicants' s arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Art Unit: 3748

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0245. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for this group is (703)

746-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

BT

July 22, 2003

Binh Tran

**Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 3748

Page 8